# **EXHIBIT A**

# 10-24-23\_0902

# **Designation List Report**

=,,	Reickard, Linda	2014-04-03
	Plaintiff Designations	00:54:40
	Defense Counters	00:05:40
	TOTAL RUN TIME	01:00:20
	Documents linked to video:	
	54	
	69	
	80	
	109	
	193	
	276	
	308	
	315	
	316	
	578	
	577	
	642	
	643	
	644	
	645	
	646	



# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 3 of 38 PageID #:18626

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
14:21 - 14:25	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:07	Reickard_04-03-14
	14:21	Q.	Ms. Reickard, could you,		.1
	14:22		please, state your name and occupation for		
	14:23		the record?		
	14:24	A.	Linda Reickard. I'm retired		
	14:25		right now.		
19:11 - 19:25	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:31	Reickard_04-03-14
	19:11	Q.	What did you do after you		.2
	19:12		finished teaching?		
	19:13	A.	I had a child, I took a year		
	19:14		off and did some substitute teaching during		
	19:15		that year. The year after that, I worked		
	19:16		part time for an insurance office. And then		
	19:17		after that, I was hired by Midwest Egg		
	19:18		Producers on a part-time basis.		
	19:19	Q.	And who are Midwest Egg		
	19:20		Producers?		
	19:21	A.	They were a regional of United		
	19:22		Egg Producers.		
	19:23	Q.	What year approximately was		
	19:24		that?		
	19:25	A.	1977.		
20:25 - 22:11	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:51	Reickard_04-03-14
	20:25	Q.	How long did you work there		.3
	21:01				
	21:02		for?		
	21:03	A.	I was there until we		
	21:04		consolidated, till UEP consolidated and the		
	21:05		regionals were gone.		
	21:06	Q.	How long after you started		
	21:07		there was that?		
	21:08	A.	We consolidated in 1998.		
	21:09	Q.	So you worked at Midwest Egg		
	21:10		Producers for 20-plus years?		
	21:11	A.	Right. About 22 years, yes.		
	21:12	Q.	Where were your offices?		
	21:13	A.	We started out at Mt. Joy,		
	21:14		Iowa, and then we moved to Eldridge, Iowa.		
	21:15	Q.	Did your role and		
	21:16		responsibilities change during those 21		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 4 of 38 PageID #:18627

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	21:17		years?		•
	21:18	A.	Yes.		
	21:19	Q.	How did they change?		
	21:20	A.	I took over the accounting, the		
	21:21		meeting planning. Basically did a lot of		
	21:22		administrative things.		
	21:23	Q.	And then what happened in 1988		
	21:24		when you said the UEP consolidated the		
	21:25		Midwest Egg Producers?		
	22:01				
	22:02	A.	When I started in 1977, the		
	22:03		Midwest region had close to 500 members, and		
	22:04		over the years through consolidation,		
	22:05		producers getting bigger, the amount of		
	22:06		producers significantly dropped and they felt		
	22:07		like there wasn't a need for regionals		
	22:08		anymore, that they could consolidate and just		
	22:09		be one United Egg Producers. The members		
	22:10		would be members of United Egg Producers, not		
	22:11		the regionals.		
23:09 - 23:13	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:10	Reickard_04-03-14
	23:09	Q.	So is it fair to say, then,		.4
	23:10		from 1978 onwards you were responsible for		
	23:11		general administrative tasks around the		
	23:12		office and the accounting services?		
	23:13	A.	Yes.		
25:15 - 26:06	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:08	Reickard_04-03-14
	25:15	Q.	When you were employed, when		.5
	25:16		you started employment with United Egg		
	25:17		Producers in 1998, what was your role at that		
	25:18		time?		
	25:19	A.	I did part of the accounting.		
	25:20		I did the meeting planning. I was in charge		
	25:21		of the egg PAC fund drive.		
	25:22	Q.	What is the egg PAC fund drive?		
	25:23	A.	That is a PAC fund that is used		
	25:24		for lobbying.		
	25:25	Q.	A Political Action Committee.		
	26:01				
	26:01 26:02		Is that correct?		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 5 of 38 PageID #:18628

			Referance_04 03 14 10 24 25_0302		
DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	26:03	Α.	Yes.		
	26:04	Q.	Okay. Anything else?		
	26:05	A.	I kept the bird numbers for		
	26:06		accounting purposes for invoicing dues.		
48:21 - 48:25	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:21	Reickard_04-03-14
	48:21		MR. SLIDDERS: If I could just		.6
	48:22		exhibit as Reickard Number 3 a		
	48:23		document with Bates number UE0289553,		
	48:24		and it's titled "Administrative Fee		
	48:25		For Animal Care Certification."		
49:12 - 51:22	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:02:58	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 578.1.13	49:12	Q.	Can you identify this document?		.7
	49:13		Yes. It's the piece of paper		
<b>6</b> 578.1.11	49:14		that I received so I had the information of		
_	49:15		the company name, address, the number of		
	49:16		layers, so that I could invoice for fees.		
<b>6</b> 578.1.11	49:17	Q.	And this would be the and		
	49:18		was this the standard format that you used		
	49:19		to as an invoice to collect the fees?		
	49:20	Α.	I didn't use this as an		
	49:21		invoice.		
	49:22	Q.	Sorry. Was this did you		
	49:23		send this out to all UEP members, a document		
	49:24		like this?		
	49:25	Α.	The Georgia office did all of		
	50:01				
	50:02		that. I did not send anything out regarding		
	50:03		that. All I ever received, that I can		
	50:04		recall, was this page with the information		
	50:05		that I needed for doing the accounting part.		
<b>6</b> 578.1.12	50:06	Q.	Now, if you could go down to		
	50:07		the third paragraph, please, and if you could		
	50:08		read that third paragraph that begins with,		
	50:09		"For Non-UEP Member," could you read that		
	50:10		into the record, please?		
	50:11	Α.	"For Non-UEP Member: A base		
	50:12		rate of \$400.00 per company plus a fee of		
	50:13		.002 cents per hen for each company with more		
	50:14		than 100,000 layers."		
	50:15	Q.	So non-UEP members could		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 6 of 38 PageID #:18629

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	50:16		also would also pay the administrative fee		
	50:17		for animal care certification. Is that		
	50:18		correct?		
	50:19	A.	That is correct.		
	50:20	Q.	And they paid just a higher fee		
	50:21		than UEP members. Is that correct?		
	50:22	Α.	That is correct.		
	50:23	Q.	And with the you calculated		
	50:24		the fee on the basis of the number of hens.		
	50:25		Is that correct?		
	51:01				
	51:02	Α.	Yes, there was a base fee and		
	51:03		then the rest of it was based on the number		
	51:04		of hens.		
	51:05	Q.	And that number of hens, the		
_	51:06		additional fee on top of the base fee, was		
<b>9</b> 578.1.14	51:07		that calculated on the number of layers that		
	51:08		was shown in the bottom right-hand corner by		
	51:09		the producers? Is that correct?		
	51:10	A.	Yes. Now, if it was a		
	51:11		member I always made sure that the layer		
	51:12		numbers agreed with the layer numbers that I		
	51:13		had on their as part of their membership		
	51:14		because I was very careful about making sure		
	51:15		that the layer numbers were the same across		
	51:16		the board on all of our records for		
	51:17		everything that I did.		
	51:18		And why did you do that?		
	51:19		For accuracy.		
<b>6</b> 578.1.11	51:20	Q.	But who advised you of the		
	51:21		number of who filled out this form,		
	51:22		generally speaking?		
52:02 - 53:15	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:34	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>ℱ</b> 578.1.11	52:02	Α.	The person that was applying to		.8
	52:03		join the program.		
	52:04	Q.	So a producer. Is that		
	52:05		correct?		
	52:06	Α.	Yes, I assume so.		
	52:07	Q.	And the producer would fill out		
	52:08		this number here for the number of layers.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 7 of 38 PageID #:18630

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	52:09		Is that correct?		
	52:10	A.	Well, I assumed that they did		
	52:11		that, yes.		
	52:12	Q.	And you said you matched this		
	52:13		up with other records of producer's hens. Is		
	52:14		that correct?		
	52:15	A.	If it was a UEP member.		
	52:16	Q.	If it was a UEP member.		
	52:17	A.	Yes. The non-members, I would		
	52:18		have nothing else to compare it to.		
	52:19	Q.	With the UEP members, what did		
	52:20		you compare that number with?		
	52:21	A.	I have a dues list which our		
	52:22		dues are based on layer numbers. So I would		
	52:23		compare it with that.		
	52:24	Q.	And who provided the number of		
	52:25		layers in the payment for payment of dues?		
	53:01	•	The good con		
	53:02		The producer.		
	53:03	Q.	So the producer was responsible		
	53:04	۸	for providing both numbers. Is that correct?		
	53:05 53:06		Yes. And the UEP dues were based on		
	53:07	Q.	the number of hens that a producer had. Is		
	53:08		that correct?		
	53:09	Δ	Yes.		
	53:10		And so was the animal care		
	53:11	Ų.	certification administrative fee?		
<b>☆</b> Clear	53:12	Α.	Yes.		
	53:13		Now, would it be in the		
	53:14		interest of the producers to underestimate		
	53:15		the number of hens that they had?		
53:18 - 54:10	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:34	Reickard_04-03-14
	53:18	·	THE WITNESS: I don't know why		.9
	53:19		they would do that.		
	53:20				
	53:21	Q.	Well, if they underestimated		
	53:22		the number of hens they had, would they be		
	53:23		paying less dues to the UEP?		
	53:24	A.	Yes.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 8 of 38 PageID #:18631

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	53:25	Q.	And if they underestimated the		
	54:01				
	54:02		number of hens they had, would they be paying		
	54:03		less of an administrative fee for animal care		
	54:04		certification to the UEP?		
	54:05	A.	Yes, but I don't think these		
	54:06		numbers were estimates. It was based on		
	54:07		their capacity.		
	54:08	Q.	But who advised you of their		
	54:09		capacity?		
	54:10	A.	They did.		
54:12 - 55:03	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:08	Reickard_04-03-14
	54:12		if you just put that aside. You mentioned		.10
	54:13		earlier that you were also responsible for		
	54:14		monthly compliance. Is that correct?		
	54:15	A.	Yes.		
	54:16	Q.	Could you just tell me what you		
	54:17		mean by "monthly compliance"?		
	54:18	A.	There was a four-page form that		
	54:19		they were to fill out as a self check, and		
	54:20		they were to send that in monthly to my		
	54:21		office.		
<b>9</b> 308.1.5	54:22		MR. SLIDDERS: If I could		
	54:23		exhibit another document entitled		
	54:24		Reickard-4 with Bates number UE0284847		
	54:25		to UE0284850. It's entitled UEP		
	55:01				
	55:02		Certified Guidelines Monthly		
	55:03		Compliance Report.		
55:12 - 55:18	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:19	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 308.1	55:12	Q.	Ms. Reickard, can you identify		.11
	55:13		this document?		
	55:14	A.	Yes, this was the monthly		
	55:15		compliance report.		
	55:16	Q.	And is this the form of		
	55:17		document that you would use to identify		
	55:18		compliance with the UEP Certified Guidelines?		
55:21 - 56:12	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:31	Reickard_04-03-14
	55:21		THE WITNESS: It was a report		.12
	55:22		that they were supposed to do monthly		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 9 of 38 PageID #:18632

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	55:23		to help them check to make sure that		
	55:24		they were in compliance with the		
<b>☆</b> Clear	55:25		program.		
	56:01				
	56:02				
	56:03	Q.	And so would producers complete		
	56:04		this form?		
	56:05	A.	Someone in the producer's		
	56:06		organization, yes.		
	56:07	Q.	And they would send that form,		
	56:08		then, to the UEP?		
	56:09		Yes.		
	56:10	Q.	Who would receive that form at		
	56:11		the UEP?		
	56:12	A.	My office.		
56:13 - 56:15	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:09	Reickard_04-03-14
	56:13		MR. SLIDDERS: Now, if I could		.13
	56:14		go to if I could exhibit another		
	56:15		document. Another couple of documents		
56:16 - 56:17	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:15	Reickard_04-03-14
	56:16		actually. Firstly is Reickard Number		.14
	56:17		5. This is Bates numbered MFI034415.		
57:07 - 58:04	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:02	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>©</b> 276.1.3	57:07		Ms. Reickard, could you		.15
	57:08		identify this document?		
<b>©</b> 276.1.6	57:09	A.	Yes, this is a letter that my		
	57:10		office would have sent out.		
	57:11	Q.	And why would you send this		
	57:12		letter out?		
	57:13	A.	Because one of my functions was		
	57:14		to be sure that all of our bird numbers were		
	57:15		accurate so that we could accurately invoice		
	57:16		fees for all of our different programs.		
	57:17	Q.	And would you send this out to		
	57:18		every member of the UEP?		
	57:19		Yes.		
	57:20	Q.	And you would include see		
<b>6</b> 276.1.5	57:21		down the bottom of that you have the can		
	57:22		you explain what that bottom table is for me,		
	57:23		please?		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 10 of 38 PageID #:18633

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	57:24	A.	On this particular producer,		
	57:25		Michael Foods, they had layers in several		
	58:01				
	58:02		different facilities. And I just kept a		
	58:03		record of those different facilities so that		
	58:04		we knew how many layers were in each state.		
58:13 - 59:03	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:50	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 276.1.5	58:13	Q.	In the second column to the		.16
	58:14		left where it has Wakefield, Nebraska, is it		
	58:15		Le Sueur and Gaylord, and then contract and		
	58:16		then contract South Dakota, contract		
	58:17		Minnesota. Now, is Wakefield, Le Sueur and		
	58:18		Gaylord, are they Michael Foods facilities?		
	58:19		So they would be Michael Foods facilities.		
	58:20		Is that correct?		
	58:21	A.	I believe so.		
	58:22	Q.	And is where it if you		
	58:23		follow on after Gaylord, it has contract		
	58:24		Iowa, contract South Dakota, contract		
	58:25		Minnesota, contract Nebraska. Does that		
	59:01				
	59:02		refer to contract egg producers that Michael		
	59:03		Foods have contracted to supply eggs?		
59:07 - 59:10	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:08	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 276.1.5	59:07	Q.	If you know the answer.		.17
	59:08	A.	These were the contract		
	59:09		producers that Michael Foods had in these		
<b>☆</b> Clear	59:10		different states.		
59:15 - 60:22	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:46	Reickard_04-03-14
	59:15	Q.	And would the members, would		.18
	59:16		the how would members reply to this		
	59:17		memorandum or fax?		
	59:18	A.	In various ways. Some of them		
	59:19		would send a letter back, say everything is		
	59:20		okay. Some of them if it needed to be		
	59:21		corrected, they would correct it. Some would		
	59:22		call. So it just depended on how they wanted		
	59:23		to get back to me.		
	59:24	Q.	So it was up to the producers		
	59:25		to respond and clarify their flock numbers?		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 11 of 38 PageID #:18634

60:01 60:02 A. Yes. 60:03 Q. Thank you.   308.1 60:04 Now, if we go back sorry to 60:05 do this. If we can go back to Exhibit 4. If 60:06 you look down, halfway down the page under 7 Housing and Space Allowances," and the first 60:08 line, if you could read the first line into 60:09 the record for me, please? 60:10 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 75 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. What were the is that the 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been 61:18 able to do It all at once.	DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
60:03 Q. Thank you.  Now, if we go back → sorry to 60:05 do this. If we can go back to Exhibit 4. If 60:06 you look down, halfway down the page under 60:08 line, if you could read the first line into 60:08 line, if you could read the first line into 60:08 line, if you could read the first line into 60:09 the record for me, please? 60:10 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:20 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:01				
## 308.1   60:04   Now, if we go back sorry to do this. If we can go back to Exhibit 4. If you look down, halfway down the page under    ## 308.1.4   60:07   "Housing and Space Allowances," and the first		60:02	A.	Yes.		
60:05 do this. If we can go back to Exhibit 4. If 60:06 you look down, halfway down the page under 60:07 "Housing and Space Allowances," and the first 60:08 line, if you could read the first line into 60:09 the record for me, please? 60:10 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the 60:20 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:03	Q.	Thank you.		
60:06 you look down, halfway down the page under  #Vousing and Space Allowances," and the first line, if you could read the first line into the record for me, please? 60:10 A "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 61:02 - 62:05 Q. Why are those questions 7 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been	<b>6</b> 308.1	60:04		Now, if we go back sorry to		
# Housing and Space Allowances," and the first line, if you could read the first line into the record for me, please?  60:00		60:05		do this. If we can go back to Exhibit 4. If		
60:08 line, if you could read the first line into 60:09 the record for me, please? 60:10 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2008 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why as it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:06		you look down, halfway down the page under		
60:09 the record for me, please? 60:10 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2008 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been	<b>6</b> 308.1.4	60:07		"Housing and Space Allowances," and the first		
60:10 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:20 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 1.19 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they knew they had to 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:08		line, if you could read the first line into		
60:11 April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches 60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:09		the record for me, please?		
60:12 per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?" 60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:10	A.	"Were chicks hatched after		
60:13 Q. And then the next line? 60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 1.19 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:11		April 1, 2005 housed as layers at 61 inches		
60:14 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 119 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:12		per white eggs or 68 inches for brown eggs?"		
60:15 October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches 60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 1.19 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:13	Q.	And then the next line?		
60:16 for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?" 60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 1.19 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:14	A.	"Were chicks hatched after		
60:17 Q. The next line? 60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the Clear 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions .19 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:15		October 1, 2006 housed as layers at 64 inches		
60:18 A. "Were chicks hatched after 60:19 April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches 60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the is that the Clear 60:22 cage space allowance for each hen? 61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions .19 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:16		for white eggs or 72 inches for brown eggs?"		
60:19		60:17	Q.	The next line?		
60:20 for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?" 60:21 Q. What were the → is that the cage space allowance for each hen?  61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions .19 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:18	A.	"Were chicks hatched after		
60:21 Q. What were the is that the  (acage space allowance for each hen?)  61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14  61:02 Q. Why are those questions 1.19  61:03 relevant?  61:04 A. Because we were concerned with  61:05 humane practices.  61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer  61:07 to?  61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage.  61:09 Q. For?  61:10 A. For the hens.  61:11 Q. Then why did it change from  61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1,  61:13 2008?  61:14 A. Because they knew they had to  61:15 gradually bring this process.  61:16 Q. Why was it gradual?  61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		60:19		April 1, 2008 housed as layers at 67 inches		
Clear   60:22   Cage space allowance for each hen?		60:20		for white eggs or 76 inches for brown eggs?"		
61:02 - 62:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:01:24 Reickard_04-03-14 61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been			Q.			
61:02 Q. Why are those questions 61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been	🔀 Clear	60:22		cage space allowance for each hen?		
61:03 relevant? 61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been	61:02 - 62:05	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:24	Reickard_04-03-14
61:04 A. Because we were concerned with 61:05 humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		61:02	Q.	Why are those questions		.19
humane practices. 61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		61:03		relevant?		
61:06 Q. What does the 61 inches refer 61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		61:04	A.	Because we were concerned with		
61:07 to? 61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage. 61:09 Q. For? 61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		61:05		humane practices.		
<ul> <li>61:08 A. The amount of spaces in a cage.</li> <li>61:09 Q. For?</li> <li>61:10 A. For the hens.</li> <li>61:11 Q. Then why did it change from</li> <li>61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1,</li> <li>61:13 2008?</li> <li>61:14 A. Because they knew they had to</li> <li>61:15 gradually bring this process.</li> <li>61:16 Q. Why was it gradual?</li> <li>61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been</li> </ul>		61:06	Q.	What does the 61 inches refer		
<ul> <li>61:09 Q. For?</li> <li>61:10 A. For the hens.</li> <li>61:11 Q. Then why did it change from</li> <li>61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1,</li> <li>61:13 2008?</li> <li>61:14 A. Because they knew they had to</li> <li>61:15 gradually bring this process.</li> <li>61:16 Q. Why was it gradual?</li> <li>61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been</li> </ul>		61:07		to?		
61:10 A. For the hens. 61:11 Q. Then why did it change from 61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		61:08	A.	The amount of spaces in a cage.		
<ul> <li>Q. Then why did it change from</li> <li>2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1,</li> <li>2008?</li> <li>A. Because they knew they had to</li> <li>gradually bring this process.</li> <li>Q. Why was it gradual?</li> <li>A. Because they wouldn't have been</li> </ul>		61:09	_			
61:12 2005 to October 2006 and then to April 1, 61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been		61:10				
61:13 2008? 61:14 A. Because they knew they had to 61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been			Q.			
<ul> <li>61:14 A. Because they knew they had to</li> <li>61:15 gradually bring this process.</li> <li>61:16 Q. Why was it gradual?</li> <li>61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been</li> </ul>						
61:15 gradually bring this process. 61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been						
61:16 Q. Why was it gradual? 61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been			A.			
61:17 A. Because they wouldn't have been						
61:18 able to do it all at once.			A.			
		61:18		able to do it all at once.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 12 of 38 PageID #:18635

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	61:19	Q.	Now, if a producer was to		•
	61:20		respond if you look down in the columns		
	61:21		next to that, it has yes or no columns. Do		
	61:22		you see that?		
	61:23	A.	Yes.		
	61:24	Q.	And if a producer, say for the		
	61:25		first question checked yes, would that mean		
	62:01				
	62:02		that the chicks hatched after April 1, his		
	62:03		chicks hatched after April 1 were housed as		
	62:04		layers at 61 inches for white eggs or		
	62:05		68 inches for brown eggs? Is that correct?		
62:08 - 65:11	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:03:06	Reickard_04-03-14
	62:08		THE WITNESS: Are you asking why		.20
	62:09		this producer marked no or		
	62:10		·		
	62:11	Q.	No, just generally. Is it fair		
	62:12		to say this is the standard form that you		
	62:13		would send out as a monthly compliance		
	62:14		report. Is that correct?		
	62:15	A.	At this time it was, yes.		
	62:16	Q.	Did it change?		
	62:17	A.	I believe it did change over		
	62:18		the years, yes.		
	62:19	Q.	Did it still have the same		
	62:20		basic information?		
	62:21	A.	I believe so.		
	62:22	Q.	So if a producer provided hens		
	62:23		with 61 inches or 64 inches or 67 inches as		
	62:24		required, as stated in those first three		
	62:25		sentences, he would simply check yes. Is		
	63:01				
	63:02		that correct?		
	63:03	A.	Yes.		
	63:04	Q.	And the producer would do that.		
	63:05		Is that correct?		
	63:06		Yes.		
<b>6</b> 308.3.4	63:07	Q.	Now, if you turn to page 3 of		
	63:08		the document, and it has a table under the		
	63:09		heading of "NEW LAYERS." Do you see that in		

#### Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 13 of 38 PageID #:18636

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	ID
	63:10		front of you?		
	63:11	A.	Yes.		
	63:12	Q.	Could you just explain this		
	63:13		table to me?		
	63:14	A.	If they housed layers that		
	63:15		month, this is the they filled out this		
	63:16		section.		
	63:17	Q.	And when you say whether they		
	63:18		housed layers that month, was that their		
	63:19		total number of layers?		
	63:20	A.	Just for the just for any		
	63:21		houses that they put new layers in that		
	63:22		month.		
	63:23	Q.	Is this when you say "new		
	63:24		layers," what do you mean?		
	63:25	A.	I mean the house, the chickens		
	64:01				
	64:02		were gone out of this house and they brought		
	64:03		new ones in.		
	64:04	Q.	But then they would they		
	64:05		would have other would they would the		
	64:06		producer have other houses with other layers?		
	64:07	A.	If it was a small producer, he		
	64:08		might not. This might be his only house.		
	64:09		Big producers would have had numerous houses.		
	64:10	Q.	So if we start with the		
	64:11		left-hand column, then, we have the layer		
	64:12		house number, and that is where you would		
	64:13		identify the facility. Is that correct?		
	64:14	A.	Yes.		
	64:15	Q.	And then "White Or Brown," I		
	64:16		assume that refers to the egg?		
	64:17	A.	Yes.		
	64:18	Q.	Then the "Location" is the		
	64:19		location. The "Hatch Date" would be the		
	64:20		hatching date of the chicks. Is that		
	64:21		correct?		
	64:22		Yes.		
	64:23	Q.	Indicate cage or cage free is		
	64:24		self-explanatory.		
	64:25		Now, the next column has total		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 14 of 38 PageID #:18637

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	65:01				-
	65:02		square inch of cage space in house under that		
	65:03		column. Now, would the producer fill that		
	65:04		column out as when you say total square		
	65:05		inch of cage space in a house, are you		
	65:06		talking about the square inches available for		
	65:07		chickens in the entire house?		
	65:08	A.	Yes.		
	65:09	Q.	And then when you say, "Layers		
	65:10		Housed THIS MONTH ONLY," you're referring		
	65:11		only to new layers. Is that correct?		
65:14 - 66:22	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:39	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 308.3.4	65:14		THE WITNESS: New layers or		.21
	65:15		if I suppose they could have		
	65:16		brought some in from a different house		
	65:17		for some reason, but		
	65:18				
	65:19	Q.	So does that refer, then, to		
	65:20		additional layers?		
	65:21	A.	No.		
	65:22	Q.	If you had a henhouse with		
	65:23		100,000 hens in it, and then in September		
	65:24		they added 10,000 hens to that henhouse,		
	65:25		would they fill out what would they put in		
	66:01				
	66:02		this form here for September?		
	66:03	A.	They wouldn't have put anything		
	66:04		in this section. They would have put down		
	66:05		below under the incomplete house because		
	66:06		obviously they didn't have the house full the		
	66:07		month before. So they would have		
	66:08	Q.	So would you only fill this		
	66:09		first section up when you were putting layers		
	66:10	•	into a new house?		
	66:11		A newly built house?		
	66:12		Yes.		
	66:13		No.		
	66:14	Ų.	You would only use this if you were adding layers to the existing house?		
	66:15	Λ	were adding layers to the existing house?		
	66:16	A.	To an existing house or a new		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 15 of 38 PageID #:18638

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	66:17		house. Any facility that they put new birds		
	66:18		into, whether they were brand new pullets or		
	66:19		chickens ready to lay eggs or if they moved		
	66:20		them from somewhere else.		
	66:21	Q.	But why wouldn't that be an		
	66:22		incomplete house?		
66:25 - 67:12	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:30	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 308.3.4	66:25		THE WITNESS: If they didn't		.22
	67:01				
	67:02		fill the house up that month.		
	67:03				
	67:04	Q.	Right. You said before, I'm		
	67:05		just trying to work this out for myself.		
<b>Ø</b> 308.3.5	67:06		That in the second table here, if the farm		
	67:07		had added 10,000 layers in September to its		
	67:08		existing 100,000 layers, that would be		
	67:09		included in the second table below that under		
	67:10		INCOMPLETE HOUSE OR BACKFILLED HOUSE. IS		
	67:11		that correct?		
	67:12	Α.	Yes.		
67:17 - 68:04	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:24	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>Ø</b> 308.3	67:17	Q.	So when would you in that		.23
	67:18		scenario, then, when would you include		
	67:19		anything in this column "Layers Housed THIS		
	67:20		MONTH ONLY"? Would the house have to have		
	67:21		been empty?		
	67:22	Α.	Yes.		
	67:23	Q.	So it would be a totally empty		
	67:24		house?		
	67:25	A.	Yes.		
	68:01				
😧 Clear	68:02	Q.	And then you would fill out		
	68:03		this column here?		
	68:04	A.	Yes.		
68:05 - 68:14	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:53	Reickard_04-03-14
	68:05	Q.	Hold on to that exhibit.		.24
<b>6</b> 316.2.5	68:06		MR. SLIDDERS: If I could		
	68:07		exhibit another document as Exhibit		
	68:08		Number Reickard-6 which is UE0515976		
	68:09		to UE015 515977 which is an e-mail		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 16 of 38 PageID #:18639

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	68:10		dated October 14, 2005, to Joe Fortin		
	68:11		from Linda Reickard, enclosing a		
	68:12		memorandum to Joe Fortin from Linda		
	68:13		Reickard with the subject of "Capacity		
	68:14		Layer Numbers," October 14, 2005.		
69:03 - 70:25	Reicka	ırd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:02:08	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>§</b> 316.2.4	69:03	Q.	Now, I think you testified		.25
	69:04		earlier that you used the it was a		
	69:05		capacity of henhouses that were used for the		
	69:06		UEP dues and the ACC administrative fee. Is		
	69:07		that correct?		
	69:08	A.	Yes.		
	69:09	Q.	And so can you identify this		
	69:10		document?		
	69:11	A.	Yes.		
	69:12	Q.	And what is it?		
	69:13	A.	In October we would verify with		
	69:14		all of our members, whether they be members		
	69:15		of UEP or members of certified program, their		
	69:16		capacity numbers so that when I did		
	69:17		invoicing, the invoices were correct and I		
	69:18		would not have to make adjusting entries.		
<b>6</b> 316.2.3	69:19	Q.	And when you have if you go		
	69:20		to the third paragraph, it says, "The		
	69:21		capacity layer numbers we show for your		
	69:22		operation," and then you have I assume		
	69:23		there a list of Moark facilities with a		
	69:24		capacity of their hens. Is that correct?		
	69:25	A.	That's correct.		
	70:01				
	70:02	Q.	And to derive that number		
	70:03		there, the capacity of the hens, how would		
	70:04		you come up with that number in October?		
	70:05	A.	That would have been the number		
	70:06		that I had on my records already.		
	70:07	Q.	Now, what records would they		
	70:08		have been?		
	70:09	A.	My dues.		
	70:10	Q.	From the previous year?		
<b>☆</b> Clear	70:11	Α.	From the previous year.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 17 of 38 PageID #:18640

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	70:12	Q.	Then would you use these forms		
<b>3</b> 308.1	70:13		here? These if we go back to Exhibit 4,		
	70:14		would you use these forms?		
🔀 Clear	70:15	A.	No.		
	70:16	Q.	Not at all? So what other		
	70:17		how would you have gotten information of any		
	70:18		increase in capacity during the year?		
	70:19	A.	Sometimes staff would let me		
	70:20		know that they had visited someone and that		
	70:21		they had built a new house and they were just		
	70:22		making me aware that there would be a change		
	70:23		in bird numbers. Sometimes they would know		
	70:24		how many it was or just alert me to the fact		
	70:25		that I needed to be sure to check.		
71:09 - 71:17	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03			00:00:39	Reickard_04-03-14
	71:09	Q.	Did you send a form like this		.26
	71:10		to all members in October generally speaking?		
	71:11	A.	I can't remember when we		
	71:12		started doing it in this way, but as I did		
	71:13		this job over the years, I would make it more		
	71:14		sophisticated, easier for us to handle, less		
	71:15		paperwork. By the time I was into 2012, this		
	71:16		definitely was the way we did it, sent it to		
	71:17		all the members to verify numbers.		
72:09 - 72:19	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:28	Reickard_04-03-14
	72:09	Q.	Mrs. Reickard, we've been		.27
	72:10		discussing the collection of dues by the UEP		
	72:11		and how that was based on the layer capacity		
	72:12		of egg producers. Is that correct?		
	72:13	A.	That's correct.		
	72:14	Q.	Was it ever based on the number		
	72:15		of, the actual number of layers?		
	72:16	A.	No.		
	72:17	Q.	It has always been based on		
	72:18		capacity rather than layers?		
	72:19	A.	Yes.		
79:11 - 79:16	Reicka	rd,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:20	Reickard_04-03-14
	79:11		MR. SLIDDERS: If we could go		.28
<b>6</b> 54.1.3	79:12		back to the table which I believe is		
-	79:13		Exhibit 5, and then if we could		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 18 of 38 PageID #:18641

DECICNATION	COURCE	BIIBATION	
DESIGNATION	SOURCE 70.14 exhibit another decument HE this	DURATION	I D
	79:14 exhibit another document, UE this 79:15 is a document entitled, it's a memo		
	79:16 dated April 30.		
	•		
79:16 - 79:20	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:18	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 54.1	79:16 It's a memorandum to		.29
	79:17 John Glessner from Linda Reickard,		
	79:18 with the subject "UEP Certification,"		
	79:19 April 30 dated April 30, 2007, and		
	79:20 it's marked as Exhibit Number 7.		
80:09 - 81:09	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:09	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 54.1.2	80:09 Q. And is this the same sort of		.30
	80:10 letter you would send to producers after	ryou	
	80:11 received their monthly compliance repo	ort?	
	80:12 A. If I discovered that there was		
	80:13 an issue with the number of layers, then	ı <b>,</b>	
	80:14 yes, it is.		
	80:15 Q. When you say when there is an		
	80:16 issue with the number of layers, what do	o you	
	80:17 mean?		
	80:18 A. I mean I would have calculated		
	80:19 the total square inches of cage space in	the	
	80:20 house by the number of for instance, t		
	80:21 64 square inches or 67, whatever was re	quired	
	80:22 at that time, to see how many layers the	ey	
	80:23 should the most amount of layers that	t they	
	80:24 should have. And if the numbers they		
	80:25 reported exceeded this, then I would set	nd	
	81:01		
	81:02 them a letter alerting them to the facts t		
	81:03 there could be a problem when their au	dit	
	81:04 came up.		
	81:05 Q. In that spreadsheet that we		
	81:06 just spoke about, did you also record in		
	81:07 spreadsheet the total square inches of c	age	
	81:08 space in each house?		
	81:09 A. No.		
83:03 - 84:07	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:32	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 54.1.2	83:03 Q. So if you look at that letter		.31
	83:04 to Mr. Glessner and you said in the next		
	83:05 paragraph that, if you look at the third		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 19 of 38 PageID #:18642

ESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	83:06 sentence there, it says, "To be at 64 square		
	83:07 inches you should have no more than 151,040		
	83:08 birds in house 10, 152,500 in house 11, and		
	83:09 152,500 in house 16 and you listed 158,450 in		
	83:10 house 10, 159,517 in house 11, and 160,000 in		
	83:11 house 16 putting you over the allotted		
	83:12 allowance by 7,410, 7,017 and 7,500 layers		
	83:13 respectively."		
	83:14 Do you see where it says that?		
🔀 Clear	83:15 A. Yes.		
	83:16 Q. How would you come to that		
	83:17 calculation?		
	83:18 A. They would have had the total		
	83:19 square inches of cage space in house filled		
	in, and I would have multiplied that by the		
	83:21 number that they were allowed, either the 64		
	or the 67, depending on when it was. And		
	83:23 then I my figure, I compare that to the		
	83:24 figure that they wrote in, the layer numbers		
	83:25 that they had.		
	84:01		
	84:02 Q. And where would you get the		
	84:03 figure of the layer numbers they had?		
	84:04 A. They wrote it in in the last		
	84:05 column, layers housed this month only.		
	84:06 Q. So that figure was an average.		
	84:07 Is that correct?		
84:10 - 84:17	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:17	Reickard_04-03-14
	84:10 THE WITNESS: Not that I		.32
	84:11 think that was their actual figure as		
	84:12 far as I know.		
	84:13		
	84:14 Q. Let me put it another way.		
	84:15 You don't know whether every		
	84:16 hen had 64 square inches on Mr. Glessner's		
	84:17 property, do you, cage space allowance?		
84:20 - 85:06	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:29	Reickard_04-03-14
	84:20 THE WITNESS: I don't know		.33
	84:21 anything about what he had for sure.		
	84:22 I just go by what was written on the		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 20 of 38 PageID #:18643

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	84:23 form.		
	84:24		
	84:25 Q. And the way you calculated		
	85:01		
	85:02 whether he was in compliance or not was		
	85:03 whether the number of hens had the number		
	85:04 of hens in total averaged 64 inches or more		
	85:05 compared to the total cage space within that		
	85:06 facility. Is that correct?		
85:09 - 85:15	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:16	Reickard_04-03-14
	85:09 THE WITNESS: I believe that's		.34
	85:10 correct.		
	85:11		
	85:12 Q. So you don't actually know		
	85:13 whether in one particular cage there was a		
	85:14 hen had more than 64 square inches or not?		
	85:15 A. No, I do not know that.		
85:16 - 85:21	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:29	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 193.1.6	85:16 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could		.35
	85:17 exhibit now Exhibit Reickard-8. It is		
	85:18 a document with Bates number UE0153388		
	85:19 to UE0153390. It's entitled, "UEP		
	85:20 Animal Welfare Committee October 9,		
	85:21 2002 Savannah, Georgia MINUTES."		
86:06 - 86:08	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:03	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>Ø</b> 193.1	86:06 Q. Please take your time to look		.36
	86:07 at those minutes.		
	86:08 [Reviewing document.]		
86:09 - 86:20	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:27	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 193.1	86:09 Q. Ms. Reickard, can you identify		.37
	86:10 this document?		
	86:11 A. It looks like it's the minutes		
	86:12 of the Animal Welfare Committee on		
	86:13 October 9th of 2002 in Savannah, Georgia.		
<b>6</b> 193.1.7	86:14 Q. If you look under the UEP		
	86:15 members, staff and guests involved, if you go		
	86:16 to the third last line, is that your name on		
	86:17 the third last line?		
	86:18 A. Yes, it is.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 21 of 38 PageID #:18644

	***************************************		
DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	86:19 Q. So were you in attendance at		
	86:20 this meeting?		
86:23 - 86:24	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:03	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 193.1.7	86:23 THE WITNESS: According to these		.38
	86:24 minutes I was. I don't remember.		
87:02 - 87:04	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:05	Reickard_04-03-14
	87:02 Q. You don't recall being at this		.39
	87:03 meeting?		
	87:04 A. No.		
87:05 - 87:17	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:38	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 193.3	87:05 Q. If we could turn to page 3, if	33.03.00	.40
Ø 193.3.3	87:06 you go about a third of the way down the page		
0 133.3.3	87:07 that has in bold and underlined, "Monthly		
	87:08 Compliance Reports." Could you, please, read		
	87:09 that paragraph into the record?		
	87:10 A. "Reickard reported on the		
	87:11 number of companies that had filed their		
	87:12 August compliance report and announced that		
	87:13 some changes were being made to the reporting	ng	
	87:14 form. She announced that letters had been		
	87:15 sent to companies that were even one bird		
	87:16 over the allowable limit to meet the space		
	87:17 requirements."		
87:18 - 87:20	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:04	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 193.3.3	87:18 Q. Do you recall making that		.41
	87:19 report?		
	87:20 A. Idon't.		
87:21 - 89:13	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:02:07	Reickard_04-03-14
	87:21 Q. Do you recall sending letters		.42
	87:22 to producers who were even one bird over the		
	87:23 allowable limit of cage space requirements?		
	87:24 A. Yes. You've seen copies of the		
	87:25 letters that I have sent to people.		
	88:01		
	88:02 Q. And you would send them even if		
	88:03 they were one bird over the allowable cage		
	88:04 space limit?		
	88:05 A. I did.		
	88:06 Q. Why?		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 22 of 38 PageID #:18645

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	88:07	A.	To let them know that there		
	88:08		could be a problem. I mean, that was my job.		
	88:09		If they were even one over, I was to let them		
	88:10		know.		
	88:11	Q.	Did you ever send any producers		
	88:12		letters about beak trimming?		
	88:13	Α.	I don't think so.		
	88:14	Q.	Did you ever send any producers		
	88:15		letters about forced molting?		
	88:16	A.	I don't think so.		
	88:17	Q.	So just so I'm clear, if, for		
	88:18		example, Moark had a flock of 10 million		
	88:19		birds, and they had one bird that over the		
	88:20		cage space allowance in accordance with those		
	88:21		calculations that you described to me		
	88:22		earlier, you would send them a letter		
	88:23		advising them that they were over the limit.		
	88:24		Is that correct?		
	88:25	A.	That is correct.		
	89:01				
	89:02	Q.	If you alerted a producer that		
	89:03		they were over the cage space allowance		
	89:04		limit, what did and the did the		
	89:05		producer generally respond?		
	89:06	A.	I can't say that they all 100		
	89:07		percent responded. If there was a typo or		
	89:08		they had picked up the wrong number of birds,		
	89:09		then they would correct their form and sent		
	89:10		it back to me.		
	89:11	Q.	And what would you do if they		
	89:12		didn't respond?		
	89:13	A.	Nothing.		
97:19 - 98:05	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:35	Reickard_04-03-14
	97:19	Q.	Did UEP members ever advise you		.43
	97:20		of when they had engaged in backfilling?		
	97:21	A.	Did they advise me what?		
	97:22	Q.	Of when they had engaged in		
	97:23		backfilling?		
	97:24	A.	I don't think so, because I		
	97:25		don't think it was allowed with the Animal		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 23 of 38 PageID #:18646

## 308.3						
98:02 Husbandry Program. 98:03 Q. If we can go back to Exhibit 98:04 Number 3, I think, the table before, the 98:05 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:51 Reickard_04-03  98:06 - 98:25 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:51 Reickard_04-03  98:07 the second page of the table, 98:08 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page of the table. 98:11 A. Okay.  98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 18:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 20:21 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 18 the form. 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 19 sidentify a document Bates number 29 sidentify a document Bates number 20 sidentify a document Bates number 21 sidentify a document Bates number 22 sidentify a document Bates num	DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
98:03 Q. If we can go back to Exhibit 98:04 Number 3, I think, the table before, the 98:05 monthly compliance report.  98:06 -98:25 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:51 Reickard_04-03  98:08 Now, if you look at the table, 98:09 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay.  98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 montality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of catastrophic event, then they would have filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:08 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:10 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:10 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:207 Reickard_04-03 99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:207 Reickard_04-03 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:01				
98:04 Number 3, I think, the table before, the 98:05 monthly compliance report.  98:06 - 98:25 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:51 Reickard_04-03  308:3 98:06 Now, if you look at the table, 98:08 third page of the table. 98:09 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay.  98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 montality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 198:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 198:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 198:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1 the form.  99:08 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:09 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:10 Wed9017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:207 Reickard_04-03  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:207 Reickard_04-03  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:207 Reickard_04-03 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:02		Husbandry Program.		
98:05 monthly compliance report.  98:06 - 98:25 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:51 Reickard_04-03  98:07 the second page of the table. 98:08 third page of the table. 98:09 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay. 98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled their cages, would they identify in this monthly compliance form? 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of catastrophic event, then they would have filled that form out, yes. 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have filled that form out, yes. 98:21 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:22 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:09		98:03	Q.	If we can go back to Exhibit		
## P8:05   Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03   00:00:51   Reickard_04-03    ## 308.3   98:06   Now, if you look at the table,   98:07   the second page of the table sorry, the   44   44   44   44   44   44   45   44   44   45   44   45   44   45   45   45   45   46   46		98:04		Number 3, I think, the table before, the		
● 308.3 98:06 Now, if you look at the table, 98:07 the second page of the table sorry, the third page of the table sorry, the third page of the table. 98:09 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay. 98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this monthly compliance form? 18:18 monthly compliance form? 18:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have filled that form out, yes. 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1		98:05		monthly compliance report.		
98:07 the second page of the table sorry, the 98:08 third page of the table. 98:09 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay. 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1 Section 199:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but	98:06 - 98:25	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:51	Reickard_04-03-14
98:08 third page of the table.  98:09 A. Which page?  98:10 Q. The third page.  98:11 A. Okay.  98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic  98:14 mortality. Okay?  98:15 A. Yes.  98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled  98:17 their cages, would they identify in this  98:18 monthly compliance form?  98:19 A. If they had some sort of  98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have  98:21 filled that form out, yes.  98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any  28:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled?  98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  1 Sector	<b>6</b> 308.3	98:06		Now, if you look at the table,		.44
98:09 A. Which page? 98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay. 98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  ★ Clear 98:25 the form.  99:08 −99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could .45  ★ 315.1.3 99:09 identify a document Bates number 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:09 -101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  ● 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that can you identify .46 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:07		the second page of the table sorry, the		
98:10 Q. The third page. 98:11 A. Okay. 98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 198:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1		98:08		third page of the table.		
98:11 A. Okay.  98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1		98:09	Α.	Which page?		
● 308.3.7 98:12 Q. It says, incomplete house or 98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 • 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 45 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 • 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  • 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that - can you identify 46 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:10	Q.	The third page.		
98:13 backfilled layers because of catastrophic 98:14 mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:20 Q. Is that can you identify 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:11	A.	Okay.		
98:14 mortality. Okay? 98:15 A. Yes. 98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled 98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on 1	<b>6</b> 308.3.7	98:12	Q.	It says, incomplete house or		
98:15 A. Yes.  98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled  98:17 their cages, would they identify in this  98:18 monthly compliance form?  98:19 A. If they had some sort of  98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have  98:21 filled that form out, yes.  98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any  98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled?  98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03  99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could  99:09 identify a document Bates number  99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda  99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC,  99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's  99:09 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03  99:20 Q. Is that can you identify  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard?  99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail,  99:23 but		98:13		backfilled layers because of catastrophic		
98:16 Q. So if a producer backfilled  98:17 their cages, would they identify in this  98:18 monthly compliance form?  98:19 A. If they had some sort of  98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have  98:21 filled that form out, yes.  98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any  98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled?  98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03  99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 45  99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda  99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC,  99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's  99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  •• 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that can you identify  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard?  99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail,  99:23 but		98:14		mortality. Okay?		
98:17 their cages, would they identify in this 98:18 monthly compliance form? 98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could .45  99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:15	A.	Yes.		
98:18 monthly compliance form?  98:19 A. If they had some sort of  98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have  98:21 filled that form out, yes.  98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any  98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled?  98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  ★ Clear 98:25 the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03  99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could .45  ● 315.1.3 99:09 identify a document Bates number  99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda  99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC,  99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's  99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  ● 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that can you identify  1.46  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard?  99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail,  99:23 but		98:16	Q.	So if a producer backfilled		
98:19 A. If they had some sort of 98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could .45  99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:17		their cages, would they identify in this		
98:20 catastrophic event, then they would have 98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:18		monthly compliance form?		
98:21 filled that form out, yes. 98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could .45  99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  № 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that can you identify .46 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:19	A.	If they had some sort of		
98:22 Q. And if they didn't have any 98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  ★ Clear 98:25 the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could .45  • 315.1.3 99:09 identify a document Bates number 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  • 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that can you identify 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:20		catastrophic event, then they would have		
98:23 catastrophic event and they backfilled? 98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:09 identify a document Bates number 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:21		filled that form out, yes.		
98:24 A. They wouldn't have put it on  the form.  99:08 - 99:13 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:00:28 Reickard_04-03 99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		98:22	Q.	And if they didn't have any		
Record       98:25       the form.         99:08 - 99:13       Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03       00:00:28       Reickard_04-03         99:08       MR. SLIDDERS: If I could       .45            • 315.1.3       99:09       identify a document Bates number         99:10       UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda         99:11       Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC,         99:12       dated September 6, 2005. And that's         99:13       going to be Exhibit Number 12.         99:20 - 101:19       Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03       00:02:07       Reickard_04-03            • 315.1.2       99:20       Q. Is that can you identify       .46         99:21       that e-mail, Ms. Reickard?       99:22       A. I don't remember this e-mail,         99:23       but		98:23		catastrophic event and they backfilled?		
99:08 - 99:13		98:24	A.	They wouldn't have put it on		
99:08 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could 99:09 identify a document Bates number 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but	<b>☆</b> Clear	98:25		the form.		
## 315.1.3 99:09 identify a document Bates number 99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  ## 315.1.2 99:20 Q. Is that can you identify 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but	99:08 - 99:13	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:28	Reickard_04-03-14
99:10 UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda 99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  100:02:07 Reickard_04-03		99:08		MR. SLIDDERS: If I could		.45
99:11 Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC, 99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:20 Q. Is that can you identify .46  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but	<b>Ø</b> 315.1.3	99:09		identify a document Bates number		
99:12 dated September 6, 2005. And that's 99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:20 Q. Is that can you identify 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		99:10		UE049017. It's an e-mail from Linda		
99:13 going to be Exhibit Number 12.  99:20 - 101:19 <b>Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03</b> 00:02:07 Reickard_04-03  99:20 Q. Is that can you identify .46  99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard?  99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail,  99:23 but		99:11		Reickard to M. O'Connor at Moark, LLC,		
99:20 - 101:19		99:12		dated September 6, 2005. And that's		
99:20 Q. Is that can you identify 99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but		99:13		going to be Exhibit Number 12.		
99:21 that e-mail, Ms. Reickard? 99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but	99:20 - 101:19	Reicka	ard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:02:07	Reickard_04-03-14
99:22 A. I don't remember this e-mail, 99:23 but	<b>Ø</b> 315.1.2	99:20	Q.	Is that can you identify		.46
99:23 but		99:21		that e-mail, Ms. Reickard?		
		99:22	A.	I don't remember this e-mail,		
99:24 O. Is there any reason that you		99:23		but		
		99:24	Q.	Is there any reason that you		
99:25 would not have sent it?		99:25		would not have sent it?		

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	100:01		
	100:02 A. No.		
	100:03 Q. Now, the e-mail says that on		
	100:04 your August report you report that you	J.	
	100:05 backfilled. Now, is it fair to say fair		
	to assume that would have referred to	his	
	100:07 monthly compliance report for the mo	onth of	
	100:08 August?		
	100:09 A. Yes.		
	100:10 Q. And but you did not list the		
	100:11 catastrophe which occurred. So is it fa	airto	
	say, then, that he would not have		
	identified he would have backfilled,	but	
	not identified a catastrophe? Is that		
	100:15 correct?		
	100:16 A. According to that e-mail, that		
	100:17 is correct.		
	100:18 Q. Then you say, "As noted,		
	100:19 backfilling is not allowed after June 1,		
	100:20 2005, unless there was a catastrophe."	Is	
	that correct? Let's start with is that a		
	100:22 correct sentence in the e-mail?		
	100:23 A. I don't remember the rules or		
	100:24 when they took place, but I'm assumir		
	that is correct because that's what I wi	rote.	
<b>☆</b> Clear	101:01		
	101:02 Q. And were you aware that		
	101:03 backfilling was not allowed?		
	101:04 A. I would have been at that time,		
	101:05 yes.		
	101:06 Q. And what do you understand the		
	101:07 term "unless there was a catastrophe	e" to	
	101:08 mean?		
	101:09 A. A fire. You know, something		
	101:10 happened to all or the majority of the		
	in the house, something drastic happe	ened.	
	101:12 Q. And in the event of a		
	101:13 catastrophe, you were allowed to back	cfill.	
	101:14 Is that correct?		
	101:15 A. Yes.		
	101:16 Q. But otherwise you were not		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 25 of 38 PageID #:18648

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	101:17 allowed to backfill. Is that correct?		
	101:18 A. After that date apparently,		
	101:19 yes.		
108:13 - 108:14	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:06	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 109.1.7	108:13 MR. SLIDDERS: If I could just		.47
	108:14 exhibit another e-mail. If I could		
108:15 - 108:18	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:18	Reickard_04-03-14
	108:15 this is Exhibit Number 15. This is an		.48
	108:16 e-mail from Linda Reickard to Paul		
	108:17 VandeBunte, dated March 15, 2006. The		
	108:18 subject line is, "Re: Feb. Report."		
109:06 - 111:22	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:02:31	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 109.1	109:06 Q. Can you identify this e-mail?		.49
	109:07 A. It looks like I wrote it.		
	109:08 Q. Who is Paul VandeBunte?		
	109:09 A. One of the producers in the		
	109:10 program.		
	109:11 Q. And you would have sent this		
	109:12 e-mail as part of your business at UEP?		
	109:13 A. Yes.		
<b>6</b> 109.1.4	109:14 Q. Now, if we start at the bottom		
	109:15 where the first e-mail goes, you say, "Paul,		
	109:16 You backfilled 740 layers in K-10 in		
	109:17 February."		
	109:18 Now, would K10 be the house?		
	109:19 A. Yes, that would have been.		
	109:20 Q. And the 740 would have been the		
	number of layers. Is that correct?		
	109:22 A. That would have been the number		
	109:23 of what?		
	109:24 Q. Of layers that Mr. VandeBunte		
	109:25 backfilled.		
	110:01		
	110:02 A. Backfilled.		
	110:03 Q. Then you go on to say the		
	110:04 "Backfilling is not allowed unless there was 110:05 a catastrophe, and you did not explain about		
	<ul><li>110:05 a catastrophe, and you did not explain about</li><li>110:06 any catastrophe."</li></ul>		
	110:06 any catastrophie.  110:07 Do you see where it says that?		
	110:08 A. Yes.		
	110.00 A. 163.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 26 of 38 PageID #:18649

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	110:09 Q. Then you say, "Can you please		
	110:10 explain about these layers?"		
<b>9</b> 109.1.5	110:11 Then Mr. VandeBunte replies on		
	110:12 March 15, 2006, where he says, Could not		
	house in K-6 because they exceeded the 61		
	square inch so I backfilled into K10.		
	110:15 Do you see that?		
	110:16 A. I do.		
	110:17 Q. So is it fair to say, then,		
	that because Mr. VandeBunte so would K6 be		
	110:19 a house?		
	110:20 A. Pardon?		
	110:21 Q. Would K6 be a reference to a		
	110:22 house, a henhouse?		
	110:23 A. Yes.		
	110:24 Q. Could you explain what he means		
	because they exceeded 61 square inches?		
	111:01		
	111:02 A. It looks like he had too many		
	birds to put in that house.		
	111:04 Q. And accordingly, he backfilled		
	111:05 into another house, K10?		
0.100.1.6	111:06 A. That looks like what he did.		
<b>6</b> 109.1.6	111:07 Q. Okay. And then if we go to the		
	top, your final e-mail in the chain to		
	111:09 Mr. VandeBunte, dated March 15, 2006, you		
	say, "Those birds will need to be destroyed		
	in order to stay in compliance."  111:12 Do you see that?		
	111:12 Do you see that? 111:13 A. I do.		
	111:14 Q. What do you mean by		
	111:15 "destroyed"?		
	111:16 A. Well, taken out of the house.		
	111:17 What he would do with them, I don't know.		
	111:18 Q. So when you say "destroyed,"		
	111:19 you mean taken out of the house?		
	111:20 A. That's yes. I mean, I do		
	111:21 not know what people did if they had too many		
Clear	111:22 birds.		
122:10 - 123:03	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:55	Reickard_04-03-14

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 27 of 38 PageID #:18650

			T
DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	122:10 Q. If I say USEM, will you		.50
	122:11 understand that to refer to U.S United		
	122:12 States Egg Marketers?		
	122:13 A. Yes.		
	122:14 Q. Did you have any		
	responsibilities in connection with USEM?		
	122:16 A. I did.		
	122:17 Q. What were those		
	122:18 responsibilities?		
	122:19 A. Accounting.		
	122:20 Q. What do you mean when you say		
	122:21 "accounting"?		
	122:22 A. I did billing, accounts		
	payable, helped with the forms when we had an		
	122:24 export of the allocations of how many cases		
	each member was responsible for.		
	123:01		
	123:02 Q. When you say "billing," who		
	123:03 were you billing?		
123:06 - 124:02	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:52	Reickard_04-03-14
	123:06 THE WITNESS: The exporter who		.51
	123:07 was buying the eggs.		
	123:08 BY MR. BROWN:		
	123:09 Q. The purchaser?		
	123:10 A. Yes, that's the purchaser.		
	123:11 Q. And you said that you helped		
	123:12 with the forms for the exports. Is that		
	123:13 right?		
	123:14 A. Yes. The forms that Phyllis		
	123:15 went over that she used for the number of		
	123:16 cases, I had the bird numbers because that's		
	123:17 what I kept track of, and then we were able		
	123:18 to use those bird numbers to allocate how		
	to use those bird numbers to allocate how many cases each person was responsible for.		
	many cases each person was responsible for.		
	<ul><li>many cases each person was responsible for.</li><li>So between the two of us, we she had some</li></ul>		
	many cases each person was responsible for.  So between the two of us, we she had some figures and I had some figures, so we put		
	many cases each person was responsible for.  So between the two of us, we she had some figures and I had some figures, so we put together that form.		
	many cases each person was responsible for.  So between the two of us, we she had some figures and I had some figures, so we put		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 28 of 38 PageID #:18651

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	124:01		-
	124:02 A. That's correct.		
124:07 - 124:15	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:29	Reickard_04-03-14
	124:07 Q. Did you ever keep track of like		.52
	124:08 a list of USEM members?		
	124:09 A. I had a list. If we did an		
	124:10 export, then I had an updated list of the		
	124:11 membership because I had to have that. I		
	124:12 didn't really keep track of the membership		
	124:13 until we had the next export and then I would		
	124:14 verify with Phyllis if the ones I had were		
	124:15 still members.		
126:13 - 126:22	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:27	Reickard_04-03-14
	126:13 Q. So as an employee of UEP, why		.53
	did you have responsibilities in connection		
	126:15 with USEM exports?		
	126:16 A. Because we had a management		
	126:17 agreement.		
	126:18 Q. What is your understanding of		
	126:19 that management agreement?		
	126:20 A. I guess I don't know		
	specifically, but we supplied staff to do		
	126:22 whatever needed to be done.		
132:17 - 132:19	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:10	Reickard_04-03-14
	132:17 Q. When USEM agreed to take an		.54
	132:18 export, was there ever a loss associated with		
	132:19 that export?		
132:22 - 133:04	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:16	Reickard_04-03-14
	132:22 THE WITNESS: There was a		.55
	132:23 difference of what we took in as		
	income and paid out as expenses.		
	132:25 BY MR. BROWN:		
	133:01		
	133:02 Q. When you say you took in as		
	income, who were you taking that in from?		
	133:04 A. The exporter, the buyer.		
133:13 - 133:14	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:04	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 9.1.3	133:13 I'm going to hand you what will		.56
	133:14 be marked as Reickard-19.		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 29 of 38 PageID #:18652

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
133:21 - 134:20	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:13	Reickard_04-03-14
	133:21 Q. And this is an e-mail		.57
	133:22 correspondence between you and Gene Gregory		
	dated February 9, 2007. Is that correct?		
	133:24 A. Yes.		
<b>6</b> 9.1.2	133:25 Q. In your e-mail to Mr. Gregory		
	134:01		
	134:02 you write, We now We have now received all		
	134:03 export loss money from November.		
	134:04 Did I read that correctly?		
	134:05 A. Yes.		
	134:06 Q. When you said "all export loss		
	134:07 money," what were you referring to?		
	134:08 A. I was referring to the eggs		
	that UEP bought for the people who didn't		
	134:10 supply their own eggs.		
	134:11 Q. Why did you refer to that as a		
	134:12 loss?		
	134:13 A. Because what UEP received for		
	those eggs was the price of the export less		
	134:15 \$0.01 commission versus what we had to pay		
_	for those eggs on the open market.		
🔀 Clear	134:17 Q. And what you had to pay for the		
	134:18 eggs on the open market, was that greater		
	than the price that you received for the		
	134:20 purchase of those eggs?		
134:23 - 135:11	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:43	Reickard_04-03-14
	134:23 THE WITNESS: The price of eggs		.58
	134:24 changes daily, so once word is out		
	134:25 about an export, more than likely the		
	135:01		
	135:02 price is going to be going up. So		
	135:03 depending on what day the eggs were		
	135:04 purchased, it usually became more		
	135:05 expensive to buy the eggs.		
	135:06 BY MR. BROWN:		
	135:07 Q. More expensive because the		
	price went up, the open market price went up?		
	135:09 A. Yes.		
	135:10 Q. And so who was responsible for		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 30 of 38 PageID #:18653

DESIGNATION	SOURCE		DURATION	I D
	135:11 paying that loss?			
135:14 - 137:11	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03		00:01:59	Reickard_04-03-14
	135:14 THE WITNESS: To UI	EP you mean?		.59
	135:15 BY MR. BROWN:	•		
	135:16 Q. Yes.			
	135:17 A. The people who we l	bought the		
	135:18 eggs for, who UEP bo	ought the eggs for who		
	135:19 didn't supply their o	wn eggs in the export.		
	135:20 Q. And how did you kee	ep track of		
	135:21 that?			
	135:22 A. Very carefully. Again	, I had a		
	135:23 spreadsheet.			
	135:24 Q. And what was on the	2		
	135:25 spreadsheet?			
	136:01			
	136:02 A. The amount of mone	ey that came		
	in for the eggs. All th	ne money that went out		
	136:04 for the eggs. And UE	P did get a commission,		
	136:05 a cent commission.			
	136:06 Q. And once you had ca	lculated the		
	136:07 amount of money th	at came in and the amount		
	of money that went	out, what would you do		
	136:09 after that?			
	136:10 A. Then I would take th	e it was		
		heir layer numbers. So		
	136:12 I used a pro rata sha			
	136:13 numbers to determine	ne how much of the loss		
	they were responsib			
	136:15 Q. Would you then send			
	136:16 bills to the individua	l members?		
	136:17 A. Yes, I did.			
	136:18 Q. How did you keep tra			
		nd the money that came		
	136:20 in?			
	136:21 A. Well, I sent the invoid	ces out.		
	136:22 Q. Sure.	Mark assess t		
	136:23 A. And then all money to			
	to the UEP office I to			
	136:25 accounts receivable	in all the different		
	137:01			

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 31 of 38 PageID #:18654

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	137:02 companies so, therefore, I was faxed copies		
	of all the checks that came in daily. So		
	then I was able to record on my invoice that		
	they had been paid and then record it in the		
	137:06 accounting program.		
	137:07 Q. When you said "all the		
	137:08 different companies," what were you referring		
	137:09 to?		
	137:10 A. The U.S. Egg Marketers members		
	137:11 who we purchased the eggs for.		
137:12 - 137:17	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:16	Reickard_04-03-14
	137:12 Q. Did USEM and UEP have separate		.60
	137:13 accounts?		
	137:14 A. Oh, yes.		
	137:15 Q. Was there any commingling of		
	137:16 those accounts?		
	137:17 A. No.		
141:04 - 141:06	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:17	Reickard_04-03-14
	141:04 Q. Do you have an understanding		.61
	141:05 why USEM members would agree to an export		
	141:06 when there was an export loss?		
141:09 - 141:15	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:19	Reickard_04-03-14
	141:09 THE WITNESS: You're talking		.62
	141:10 about a loss. At the time I think		
	141:11 at the time that they agreed to an		
	141:12 export, there was an oversupply of		
	141:13 eggs and they were probably losing		
	141:14 money in their business. And that's		
	141:15 why they would agree to it.		
141:20 - 141:21	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:05	Reickard_04-03-14
	141:20 Q. I'm going to hand to you what		.63
	141:21 will be marked as Exhibit Reickard-21.		
142:03 - 142:04	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:06	Reickard_04-03-14
	142:03 BY MR. BROWN:		.64
<b>6</b> 80.1.5	142:04 Q. This is UE0475456.		
142:05 - 143:04	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:09	Reickard_04-03-14
	142:05 A. [Reviewing document.] Okay.		.65
	142:06 Q. Am I correct that this is		
	e-mail correspondence that you are copied on		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 32 of 38 PageID #:18655

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	142:08 from November 22, 2006?		•
	142:09 A. Yes.		
	142:10 Q. And if you look at Gene		
	142:11 Gregory's e-mail well, first, who was		
	142:12 Wayne Winslow?		
	142:13 A. He is with NuCal Foods. I'm		
	not positive what his I don't know if he's		
	142:15 manager or CEO or president, but he's with		
	142:16 them.		
	142:17 Q. Was NuCal Foods a USEM member?		
	142:18 A. Yes.		
<b>6</b> 80.1.3	142:19 Q. If you look at the earliest		
	142:20 e-mail in the chain all the way at the		
	142:21 bottom.		
	142:22 A. Can I take that back?		
	142:23 Q. Yes, please.		
	142:24 A. I don't know that NuCal		
	142:25 NuCal it would be the members of NuCal		
	143:01		
	that were the members of U.S. Egg Marketers,		
	143:03 not NuCal itself because they don't have		
	143:04 birds.		
143:24 - 144:09	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:26	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>6</b> 80.1.4	143:24 Q. And then Mr. Winslow responds		.66
	143:25 and says, "We understand how the system works		
	144:01		
	144:02 and the benefits of the system."		
	144:03 Did I read that correctly?		
	144:04 A. Yes.		
	144:05 Q. Do you have an understanding of		
	144:06 what he's referring to when he discusses the		
	benefits of the system?		
_	144:08 A. No, I don't know what he		
🔀 Clear	thought the benefits of the system were. No.		
144:10 - 144:12	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:06	Reickard_04-03-14
	144:10 Q. Do you have an understanding of		.67
	144:11 what the benefits of the system are to USEM		
	144:12 members?		
144:15 - 144:19	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:11	Reickard_04-03-14
	144:15 THE WITNESS: I think the		.68
	TT1.13 THE WITHESS, I CHINK CITC		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 33 of 38 PageID #:18656

DESIGNATION			
DESTONATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	144:16 benefit of the program is to stabilize		
	the egg market prices. And that's not		
	144:18 just to U.S. Egg Marketers members,		
	144:19 that's to all egg producers.		
144:21 - 145:03	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:30	Reickard_04-03-14
	144:21 Q were non-members allowed		.69
	144:22 to participate in USEM exports?		
	144:23 A. I think there were a few times		
	144:24 when there was support by non-members, yes.		
	144:25 Q. Do you know why that occurred?		
	145:01		
	145:02 A. I think they saw the benefit of		
	it. I don't know why they weren't members.		
208:06 - 208:14	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:23	Reickard_04-03-14
	208:06 Q. What was the purpose of this		.70
<b>6</b> 308.1	208:07 UEP Certified Guideline Monthly Compliance		
	208:08 Report that's been previously marked as		
	208:09 Reickard-4?		
	208:10 A. It was just a self check for		
	the producers to make sure that they were		
	208:12 abiding by the guidelines.		
	208:13 Q. So it was sort of like a self		
	208:14 evaluation?		
208:16 - 208:16	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:00	Reickard_04-03-14
Clear	208:16 THE WITNESS: Yes.		.71
208:16 - 208:16	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:00	Reickard_04-03-14
	208:16 THE WITNESS: Yes.		.72
208:21 - 210:11	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:53	Reickard_04-03-14
	208:21 Q. If a member did not fill out		.73
	208:22 one of these compliance self check forms as		
	you describe it, did you remind the member?		
	208:24 A. Yes, we did.		
	208:25 Q. And why did you do that?		
	209:01		
	209:02 A. Because we wanted them to fill		
	209:03 out the form so they would know if they were		
	in compliance or not because we wanted them		
	<ul> <li>in compliance or not because we wanted them</li> <li>to be to pass their audit every year.</li> <li>209:06 Q. And why did you want them to</li> </ul>		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 34 of 38 PageID #:18657

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	209:07 pass their audit?		
	209:08 A. So that we knew that they were		
	209:09 humanely treating their birds correctly.		
	209:10 Q. And did you remind the members		
	if they didn't complete their self check in		
	209:12 writing?		
	209:13 A. Yes, we sent them a letter.		
	209:14 Q. And did you so explain to		
	209:15 me, when you got this report, what did you do		
	209:16 on each page?		
	209:17 A. I went through each page to		
	209:18 make sure that they had filled everything		
	out. If anything was missing, then we		
	209:20 notified them that something was missing and		
	209:21 we asked them to completely fill out the form		
	209:22 and send it back to us.		
	209:23 Q. And what was the concern if		
	209:24 something was missing?		
	209:25 A. That they wouldn't know if they		
	210:01		
	210:02 were in compliance or not.		
	210:03 Q. And then they could possibly		
	210:04 fail the audit?		
	210:05 A. Yes.		
	210:06 Q. Did you follow up if they		
	210:07 didn't check off something, did you follow up		
	210:08 to make sure that they then did it?		
	210:09 A. No. Most of them would send it		
	210:10 back completed, but we did not follow up if		
	210:11 they didn't.		
210:17 - 210:19	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:16	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 308.1	210:17 Q. Did you write to certified		.74
	210:18 members on a variety of the requirements		
	identified on the monthly compliance part?		
210:23 - 211:02	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:09	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>9</b> 308.1	210:23 A Yes, there was a variety		.75
-	210:24 of things that they missed putting in. The		
	210:25 biggest thing was the fact that we didn't		
	211:01		
	211:02 even get the reports.		

#### Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 35 of 38 PageID #:18658

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
211:03 - 211:09	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:28	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>3</b> 308.3	211:03 Q. Turn to page 3 of this report.		.76
	211:04 How long did we decide that you have worked		
	211:05 for either Midwest or UEP?		
	211:06 A. 35 years.		
	211:07 Q. 35 years. In your 35 years'		
	211:08 experience, did producers typically fill		
🔀 Clear	211:09 houses to the full capacity of the house?		
211:11 - 211:11	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:02	Reickard_04-03-14
	211:11 THE WITNESS: I think so, yes.		.77
212:08 - 212:25	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:56	Reickard_04-03-14
	Now, in terms of the dues list		.78
	212:09 that you have testified to today, why did you		
	212:10 use the capacity layer number instead of the		
	212:11 actual hen numbers?		
	212:12 A. Because we needed to be		
	consistent with everyone, and every day there		
	212:14 would be a different number of layers on		
	every farm. So using the capacity numbers		
	212:16 would be a more accurate way to keep track of		
	212:17 everything.		
<b>9</b> 308.1	212:18 Q. And I'm sorry, going back to		
	212:19 Reickard-4, the compliance report that we		
	just looked at, this form actually, when the		
	212:21 producer would fill out this form, this was		
	212:22 actually layers, is that correct, as opposed		
	212:23 to capacity?		
D	212:24 A. That's correct. This was		
<b>☆</b> Clear	212:25 actual layers.		
223:19 - 224:02	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:17	Reickard_04-03-14
	223:19 (Exhibit Reickard-44, Dues List,		.79
	223:20 Bates UE0268031 - UE0268035, was		
	223:21 marked for identification.)		
	223:22		
	223:23 BY MS. LEVINE:		
<b>6</b> 646.1	223:24 Q. R-44 is another dues list		
	223:25 that's compiled of several pages, and they're		
	224:01		
	Bates stamped UE0268031 through UE0268035.		
			24/27

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 36 of 38 PageID #:18659

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	<del>_</del>	DURATION	I D
224:03 - 224:05	Reickard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:06	Reickard_04-03-14
	224:03	Ms. Reickard, can you identify		.80
	224:04	Reickard-44?		
	224:05 A.	Yes, this is my dues document.		
230:23 - 231:24	Reickard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:01:21	Reickard_04-03-14
	230:23	(Exhibit Reickard-46, Dues list,		.81
	230:24	Bates UE0198018 - UE0198023, was		
	230:25	marked for identification.)		
	231:01			
_	231:02			
<b>6</b> 643.1	231:03	MS. LEVINE: R-46 is Bates		
	231:04	stamped UE0198018 through UE0198023.		
	231:05	I'm going to mark the next dues		
	231:06	list as R-47.		
	231:07			
	231:08	(Exhibit Reickard-47, Dues list,		
	231:09	Bates UE0198080 - UE0198085, was		
	231:10	marked for identification.)		
_	231:11			
<b>6</b> 645.1	231:12	MS. LEVINE: R-47 is a dues list		
	231:13	Bates stamped UE0198080 through		
	231:14	UE0198085.		
	231:15	I'm going to mark the next		
	231:16	document as Reickard-48.		
	231:17			
	231:18	(Exhibit Reickard-48, Dues List,		
	231:19	Bates UE0198065 - UE0198069, was		
<b>6</b> 644.1	231:20	marked for identification.)		
	231:21			
	231:22	MS. LEVINE: Reickard-48 is		
	231:23	another member layers dues list Bates		
	231:24	stamped UE0198065 through UE0198069.		
231:25 - 232:13	Reickard,	Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:49	Reickard_04-03-14
<b>§</b> 577.1	231:25	I'm going to mark the next		.82
	232:01			
	232:02	document as R-49, which is dues		

# Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 37 of 38 PageID #:18660

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	232:03 list which is a dues list Bates		
	232:04 stamped UE0243147 through UE0243152.		
	232:05		
	232:06 (Exhibit Reickard-49, Dues list,		
	232:07 Bates UE0243147 - UE0243152, was		
	232:08 marked for identification.)		
	232:09		
	232:10 MS. LEVINE: I'm going to mark		
<b>6</b> 642.1	the next document as R-50. R-50 is		
	232:12 another dues list marked UE0197900		
	232:13 through UE0197904.		
232:14 - 233:05	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:21	Reickard_04-03-14
	232:14		.83
	232:15 (Exhibit Reickard-50, Dues list,		
	232:16 Bates UE0197900 - UE0197904, was		
	232:17 marked for identification.)		
	232:18		
	232:19 BY MS. LEVINE:		
	232:20 Q. Again, in the interest of time,		
	232:21 that's why I'm just going to just Bates stamp		
	them all and ask you some questions.		
	232:23 So, Ms. Reickard, you have		
	232:24 R-46, R-47, R-48, R-49 and R-50 in front of		
	232:25 you?		
	233:01		
	233:02 A. Yes.		
	233:03 Q. Can you identify these 233:04 documents?		
233:06 - 233:11	233:05 A. They are my dues records.  Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:19	Reickard_04-03-14
233.00 233.11	233:06 Q. And the same questions as I	00.00.13	.84
	233:07 asked before. Did you or someone at your		
	233:08 direction, your assistants, verify each and		
	233:09 every line of the hen layer numbers on these		
	233:10 documents?		
<b>☆</b> Clear	233:11 A. Yes.		
		00.00.10	Poickard 04 02 14
237:23 - 238:04	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:16	Reickard_04-03-14 .85
	237:23 Q. Did you keep did you		.00
	237:24 maintain the dues list?		

#### Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 415-1 Filed: 10/26/23 Page 38 of 38 PageID #:18661

#### Reickard\_04-03-14 - 10-24-23\_0902

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	237:25 A. My assistant and I did, yes.		
	238:01		
	238:02 Q. And you maintained them in the		
	238:03 ordinary course of your business?		
	238:04 A. Yes.		
238:05 - 238:09	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:16	Reickard_04-03-14
	238:05 Q. If I were to ask you what you		.86
	238:06 thought was the most reliable document to		
	238:07 determine the members of UEP, what document		
	or documents would that be?		
	238:09 A. My dues list.		
238:11 - 238:15	Reickard, Linda 2014-04-03	00:00:08	Reickard_04-03-14
	238:11 THE WITNESS: My dues list.		.87
	238:12 BY MS. LEVINE:		
	238:13 Q. And why is that?		
	238:14 A. Because I was very methodical		
	238:15 about keeping it up to date.		

TOTAL RUN TIME	01:00:20
Defense Counters	00:05:40
Plaintiff Designations	00:54:40